# Team OZero: Optimized for N-Grams

# Jan Böttcher, Moritz Kaufmann, Timo Kersten, Andreas Kipf {boettcher, kaufmann, kersten, kipf}@in.tum.de

### **SIGMOD 2017 Programming Contest**

Task: Implementation of a document search system Input: A set of n-grams and many queries Workload:

Command stream of:

# Challenges

- Exploiting all available hardware threads
- Small work units
- Dependencies between operations impede parallelization
- Almost only updates, very few queries

A) Add n-gram to database D) Delete n-gram from database Q) Find all matching n-grams in a document Large amount of patterns High variance in pattern lengths

# The Algorithm

Add n-gram: Index sub patterns in HT **Delete n-gram:** Use MVCC Query:

for word in doc: pattern = word while (pattern in HT): if match: output pattern += next word



#### **MVCC**

Each operation is assigned a unique version from a global counter Queries only see patterns within their visibility range Deleted patterns are marked invisible for future queries

 $\Rightarrow$  Enables parallelism

# **OZero Optimizations**

Custom memory allocation Index short sub patterns and store maximum suffix length Lock-free data structures SIMDified parsing Handcrafted hash function Smallstring inlining Handcrafted worker pool Very fast compilation due to -00

# Parallelization

Prioritized execution of updates/deletes A query executes once all its preceding updates/deletes completed

> Parser Input Operation Stream

Adds/Removes

 $\odot \odot$ 

 $\odot$ 

Queries

Worker-Pool

Output results

Inter-Query: Run queries in parallel Intra-Query: Partition document by hash values

### **Evaluated Algorithms**

Aho-Corasick: O(n), but updates are too expensive Boyer-Moore style: Longest jump would be one word Shift-And: Too many false positives due to the large amount of n-grams

- $\Rightarrow$  Algorithms do not perform well in this setting:
- Updates are expensive
- **Bad selectivity (Xor-Shift)**

### **Takeaways**

Do not trust your expectations, trust your experiments  $\Rightarrow$  "Clever" optimizations may not pay off Be lazy, don't expect speedups from upfront work (indexing) in an update-heavy setting Test frameworks are indeed useful

Technische Universität München Fakultät für Informatik Lehrstuhl für Datenbanksysteme